

The Funding of Subsidiaries Equity, “Double Leverage,” and the Risk of BHCs

Discussion by Gong Cheng¹

European Stability Mechanism



2nd ACPR Academic Conference

2 December 2015

¹The views expressed hereafter are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the ESM.

General appraisal

This paper

General appraisal

This paper

- focuses on an under-explored field with significant policy and market relevance: intra-holding group financing and its effect on holding companies' risks

General appraisal

This paper

- focuses on an under-explored field with significant policy and market relevance: intra-holding group financing and its effect on holding companies' risks
- provides an extensive discussion on “double leverage”

General appraisal

This paper

- focuses on an under-explored field with significant policy and market relevance: intra-holding group financing and its effect on holding companies' risks
- provides an extensive discussion on “double leverage”
- follows a rigorous econometric analysis based on a number of different specification/estimation strategies

General appraisal

This paper

- focuses on an under-explored field with significant policy and market relevance: intra-holding group financing and its effect on holding companies' risks
- provides an extensive discussion on “double leverage”
- follows a rigorous econometric analysis based on a number of different specification/estimation strategies
- does not content itself with correlations but tries to uncover some degrees of causality (e.g. Granger causality, IV, etc.)

Key results

- A higher DLR $\frac{x E(S)}{E(HC)}$ is associated with/causes more volatile stock returns
- This relationship is non-linear, mostly driven by holding companies with a large $DLR \geq 100\%$
- Capital ratios and the global financial crisis could influence the market effect of DLR
- The type of subsidiaries matters: stock returns are more volatile when holding companies invest in bank subsidiaries

Main comments - specifications

Main comments - specifications

- Apart from the effect of the DLR, the specification and identification strategies condition some interesting results:
 - The impact of regulatory capital and the crisis on the marginal effect of DLR: Capital dampens the marginal effect of DLR in OLS but reinforces it in the panel regression
 - Panel regression: static? any serial correlation concerns?

Main comments - specifications

- Apart from the effect of the DLR, the specification and identification strategies condition some interesting results:
 - The impact of regulatory capital and the crisis on the marginal effect of DLR: Capital dampens the marginal effect of DLR in OLS but reinforces it in the panel regression
 - Panel regression: static? any serial correlation concerns?
- Potential collinearity issue: DLR and size might be highly correlated
 - Useful to provide a correlation matrix of independent variables

Main comments - specifications

- Apart from the effect of the DLR, the specification and identification strategies condition some interesting results:
 - The impact of regulatory capital and the crisis on the marginal effect of DLR: Capital dampens the marginal effect of DLR in OLS but reinforces it in the panel regression
 - Panel regression: static? any serial correlation concerns?
- Potential collinearity issue: DLR and size might be highly correlated
 - Useful to provide a correlation matrix of independent variables
- The impact of the crisis deserves further exploration
 - Potential reverse causality? Banks (double) leverage up in the upturn because
 - Risks were compressed
 - Capital requirements (based on a VaR calculation) allow more leverage
 - Is there a change of behaviour before and after the crisis?

Main comments - concepts

Main comments - concepts

- Dependent variable (*stdev*): a measure of risks or risk-taking?
 - Stock returns are the result of BHC's operating and risk policies
 - Stock returns also capture market volatility
 - Are all BHCs in the sample publicly listed? What about unlisted companies?

Main comments - concepts

- Dependent variable (*stdev*): a measure of risks or risk-taking?
 - Stock returns are the result of BHC's operating and risk policies
 - Stock returns also capture market volatility
 - Are all BHCs in the sample publicly listed? What about unlisted companies?
- To what extent does double leverage differ from leverage?
 - Is DLR a proxy for leverage?

Main comments - policy and regulations

Main comments - policy and regulations

- Double leverage, “a known suspect” to regulators?
 - Taking on double leverage would mean that the institution extends leverage beyond the allowed regulatory capital requirements

Main comments - policy and regulations

- Double leverage, “a known suspect” to regulators?
 - Taking on double leverage would mean that the institution extends leverage beyond the allowed regulatory capital requirements
- Basel III (and Basel II) requires BHC to deduct from their own equity significant holdings of other financial intermediaries

Main comments - policy and regulations

- Double leverage, “a known suspect” to regulators?
 - Taking on double leverage would mean that the institution extends leverage beyond the allowed regulatory capital requirements
- Basel III (and Basel II) requires BHC to deduct from their own equity significant holdings of other financial intermediaries
- Recent banking regulations (CRR) in Europe are even tougher
 - Articles 36 and 43-49
 - Double leverage *de facto* impossible

Minor comments

- Useful to provide additional information on the sample
 - How many BHCs? How many subsidiaries on average?
- Some suggestions on the structure of the paper (to make the main story/results more salient)
 - Section 2, which is useful for readers to understand the key concepts, is a bit too long
 - Focus on one baseline regression + one or two most relevant alternatives